Deep Learning and Physics Seminar Nov 11th, 2021

深層ニューラルネットワークにおける レプリカ対称性の破れとその空間構造

Hajime Yoshino

Cybermedia Center, Osaka University

Hajime Yoshino, SciPostPhys. Core 2, 005 (2020). 「最近の研究から - 深層ニューラルネットワークの解剖ー統計力学によるアプローチ」日本物理学会誌76巻9号(2021年9月号)







1978

statistical mechanics of disordered systems



"Deep Learning"



2008

without quenched disorder



2020

spin glass theory and FAR beyond

Statistical mechanics of disordered systems: spins, spheres and machines

Hajime Yoshino^{1,2}

¹Cybernedia Center, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan ²Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0045, Japan

In this lecture note we discuss glass physics and related problems using solvable mean-field models. First we discuss mean-field spin models without quenched disorder (just ferromagnetic couplings) with dense (but not global) couplings. We show that they exibit glassy phases in supercooled paramagnetic phase and recover the standard results kown in the mean-field spinglass models with quenched disorder. Next we discuss glass physics in dense assemblies of simple spheres in large dimensional limit.

in progress



The Nobel Prize in Physics 2021



https://www.nobelprize.org/uploads/2021/10/sciback_fy_en_21.pdf

"for groundbreaking contributions to our understanding of complex physical systems"

Disordered serendipity: a glassy path to discovery

A workshop in honour of Giorgio Paris 's 70th birthday Sapienza Università di Roma, September 19-22, 2018











$$\rightarrow S_0$$

$$_{0} = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}J_{i}S_{i}\right)$$



DATA3





 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$





Perceptron
McCulloch-Pitts model)
$$\rightarrow S_{0}$$
$$= Sgn\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}J_{i}S_{i}\right)$$
Activation function

Statistical mechanics of $J_i\,$ which meet random constraints

$$=\pm 1$$
 pattern $\mu=1,2,\ldots,k$

$$V = \int \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{dJ_j}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{J_j^2}{2}} \prod_{\mu=1}^{M} e^{-\beta v(r^{\mu})}$$

$$P(h)$$
 "Gap" $r^{\mu} = S_0^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} J_i S_i^{\mu} - \kappa$



Gardner's volume: design space of a perceptron



 $Q_{ab} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_i^a J_i^b$ overlap between machine a and b learning with the same data

storage capacity

Gardner's volume: design space of a perceptron



Franz-Parisi (2016) $\kappa < 0$

Replica Symmetry Breaking (RSB)

overlap between machine a and b learning with the same data

storage capacity

clustering glass

jamming (SAT/UNSAT tradition)

 $Q_{ab} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_i^a J_i^b$





















replica=machines learning in parallel with the same training data

_

_

_

_

—



Replica Symmetry Breaking = Replica "Permutation" Symmetry Breaking



replica=machines learning in parallel with the same training data



Hierarchical Replica (permutation) symmetry breaking and ultrametricity



 $Q(a,b) = \min(Q(a,c), Q(b,c))$

$$Q_{ab} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_i^a J_i^b$$

Overlap distribution

 $P(Q) = \frac{dx}{dQ}$



Multi-layer Neural Network

Design weights to satisfy boundary conditions







$$S_{L,i}^{\mu} = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{j=1}^{N} J_{L,i,j}\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{k=1}^{N} J_{L-1,j,k}\cdots\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{m=1}^{N} J_{1,l,m}S_{0,m}^{\mu}\right)\right)\right)$$

Usual strategy of learning

(I) define "loss function"

(2) try to minimize the loss function via back-propagation

Too much long-ranged, highly convoluted, non-linear interaction! ... hard to analyze



e.g. $E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} \left(S_{L,i}^{\mu} - (S_*)_{L,i}^{\mu} \right)^2$

e.g. SDG (stochastic gradient descent)

Gardner volume in deep perceptron network



Gardner volume generalized for a multi-layer network (c.f..) Single percepron: E. Gardner (1987)

$$V(\mathbf{S}(0), \mathbf{S}(L)) = e^{NM\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{S}(0), \mathbf{S}(l))}$$
 =

Hamiltonian with "short-ranged" interactions

MH = $\mu = 1$

"Hardcore" constraint

 $e^{-\beta v(h)} = \theta(h)$

trace over hidden variables

$$\prod_{l=1}^{L-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{S_{l,i}^{\mu} = \pm 1} \left(\int \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{dJ_{i}^{j}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(J_{i}^{j})^{2}}{2}} \right) e^{-\beta H}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} J_{i}^{i} S_{i}^{\mu} S_{i}^{\mu} - \kappa$$





We study two scenarios of machine learning with artificially generated training data



scenario (1)

scenario (2)



Scenario (I) Random inputs/random outputs



Q: How may different ways the machine can be designed to satisfy the imposed random inputs/outputs ?

- a constraint satisfaction problem

- glass/jamming physics

"Random Constraint Satisfaction Problem" (ランダム制約充足問題)

Example: Graph coloring



Antiferromagnetic Potts model

$$H = \sum_{i,j} \delta_{q_i,q_j}$$

Connection to glass physics

Clustering transition = glass transition SAT/UNSAT transition = jamming transition

random output





l=1

replicas: machines learning in parallel with the same data

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{J}_{\bullet}^{a}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{\bullet, \mathrm{output}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{S}_{\bullet}^{a}} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{\mu, \bullet, a} e^{-\beta v(r_{\bullet, a}^{\mu})} & r_{\bullet, a}^{\mu} = S_{\bullet, a}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} J_{\bullet, a}^{i} S_{\bullet}^{\mu}_{(i)} \\ \\ \operatorname{nes} \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ \\ \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\bullet}^{a}_{(i)} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} & \operatorname{neching}_{(J_{ij})^{2}} \\ \\ \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\bullet}^{a}_{(i)} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} & \operatorname{neching}_{(J_{ij})^{2}} \\ \\ \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\bullet}^{a}_{(i)} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} & \operatorname{neching}_{(J_{ij})^{2}} \\ \\ \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\bullet}^{a}_{(i)} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} & \operatorname{neching}_{(J_{ij})^{2}} \\ \\ \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\bullet}^{a}_{(i)} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} & \operatorname{neching}_{(J_{ij})^{2}} \\ \\ \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\bullet}^{a}_{(i)} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} \\ \\ \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\bullet}^{a} (J_{i})^{b} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} \\ \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\bullet}^{a} (J_{i})^{b} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} \\ \\ \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\bullet}^{a} (J_{i})^{b} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} \\ \\ \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} S_{\bullet}^{\mu} (J_{i})^{b} J_{\bullet}^{b}_{(i)} \\ \\ \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} & Q_{ab, \bullet} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} S_{\bullet}^{\mu} (J_{i})^{b} J_{\bullet}^{h}_{(i)} \\ \\ \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} (S_{\bullet}^{\mu})^{b} (J_{i})^{b} (J_{i}$$

Hajime Yoshino, SciPostPhys. Core 2,005 (2020).





SCI POST



Parisi's RSB ansatz



$$Q_{ab}(l) = \sum_{\substack{i=0\\k+1}}^{k+1} Q_i(l) (I_{ab}^{m_i} - I_{ab}^{m_{i+1}}) \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots, L$$
$$q_{ab}(l) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} q_i(l) (I_{ab}^{m_i} - I_{ab}^{m_{i+1}}) \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots, L - L$$

Input/output boundaries

replicated machines are subjected to the same training data

$$q_{ab}(0) = q_{ab}(L) = 1$$



1st Glass transition



other layers remain in the liquid phase

2nd Glass transition



 $\alpha_{\rm g}(2) \simeq 15.38$

which also induce 2nd glass transitions at 1st and L-th layer

continuous transition to full RSB glass phase

at 2nd & (L-2) th layer

Growth of glass phase with increasing training data





Space-dependent replica-symmetry breaking











each DNN is NOT a glass

Scenario (2) Teacher student setting





Replicated Gardner volume

$$V^{1+n}\left(\mathbf{S}_{0},\mathbf{S}_{L}\right) = \prod_{a=0}^{n} \left(\prod_{\mathbf{m}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{m}}^{a}}\right) \left(\prod_{\mathbf{m}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{m}}^{a}}\right) \prod_{\mu,\mathbf{m},a} e^{-\beta v(r_{\mathbf{m},a}^{\mu})} \qquad r_{\mathbf{m},a}^{\mu} = S_{\mathbf{m},a}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} J_{\mathbf{m},a}^{i} S_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mu}$$
teacher-machine $a = 0$ student-machines $a = 1, 2, \dots, n$
Order parameters
$$q_{ab,\mathbf{m}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} (S_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mu})^{b} \qquad Q_{ab,\mathbf{m}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{\mathbf{m}}^{a}(i) J_{\mathbf{m}}^{b}(i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-\beta \overline{F(\mathbf{S}_{0},\mathbf{S}_{L})}^{\text{visible}}}{NM} &= \frac{\partial_{n} \overline{V^{1+n}(\mathbf{S}_{0},\mathbf{S}_{L})}^{\text{visible}}\Big|_{n=0}}{NM} = \partial_{n} S_{1+n}[\{\hat{Q}(l),\hat{q}(l)\}]\Big|_{n=0} \\ S_{1+n}[\{\hat{q}(l)\},\{\hat{Q}(l)\}] &= \alpha^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{L} S_{\text{ent}}^{\text{bond}}[\hat{Q}(l)] + \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} S_{\text{ent}}^{\text{spin}}[\hat{q}(l)] \\ &= \alpha^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{L} S_{\text{ent}}^{\text{bond}}[\hat{Q}(l)] + \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} S_{\text{ent}}^{\text{spin}}[\hat{q}(l)] \\ &= \alpha^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{L} e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} q_{ab}(l-1)Q_{ab}(l)q_{ab}(l)\partial_{h_{a}(l)}\partial_{h_{b}(l)}} \prod_{a=0}^{n} e^{-\beta v(h_{a}(l))}\Big|_{h_{a}(l)=0} \end{aligned}$$

Hajime Yoshino, SciPostPhys. Core 2, 005 (2020).



Parisi's RSB ansatz



$$Q_{ab}(l) = \sum_{\substack{k \neq \pm 0 \\ i = 0}}^{k+1} Q_i(l) (I_{ab}^{m_i} - I_{ab}^{m_{i+1}}) \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots, L$$
$$q_{ab}(l) = \sum_{i=0}^{k \neq \pm 0} q_i(l) (I_{ab}^{m_i} - I_{ab}^{m_{i+1}}) \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots, L-1$$

Input/output boundaries

overlap among students at the boundaries

overlap between the students and the teacher

$$q_{ab}(0) = q_{ab}(L) = 1$$

r(0) = r(1) = r



Bayes-optimal case (no noise)

Sci Pos Sci Post



"Wetting transition"

layer-by-layer 2nd order transition

Hajime Yoshino, SciPostPhys. Core 2, 005 (2020).



Bayes optimal, Nishimori condition q = r, Q = RReplica symmetry (RS) holds



teacher-student overlap of bond after training

R



U

 r_{test}

teacher-student overlap for "test data"



r(l) is not fixed

non-zero solution!

on this side zerosolution also exist

over-parametrized DNN with "central liquid region" generalizes! (if symmetry breaking field helps).

U



Spatial profile of the EA order parameter



0.8

0.4

0

0





7

3

4

5

2

1

 $\alpha = 25$



r = 0.5

r = 0.1



Hierarchical structure of the solutions



 \mathcal{X}

Replica symmetric



 \mathcal{X}

 \mathcal{X}

Replica symmetry broken

r = 0.1

4

$$q_{\rm EA} = q(1)$$



0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

q

r

2

Replica symmetric!



 \mathcal{X}

6

l





r = 0.1











 \mathcal{X}

Simulation of learning in a teacher-student setting





random teacher + student "a" and "b"

1. "Unlearning" : start from teacher's configuration

2. "Learning" : start from random configuration

loss function

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} \left(S_{L,i}^{\mu} - (S_*)_L^{\mu} \right)^{\mu}$$





10000

Permutation-invariant overlap

 $\alpha = 1$



 $q^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} q_{ij}^{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \qquad q_{ij} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} (S_{i}^{\mu})^{a} (S_{j}^{\mu})^{b}$





$\alpha = 32$



Generalization ability

teacher-student overlap in the test







 $N \quad M$ $(S_i^{\mu})_{\text{test}}^{\text{teacher}}(S_i^{\mu})_{\text{test}}^{\text{student}}$ $r_{\rm test} = \overline{NN}$ $i=1 \mu=1$

t



Generalization ability

teacher-student overlap in the test







M $(S_i^{\mu})_{\text{test}}^{\text{teacher}}(S_i^{\mu})_{\text{test}}^{\text{student}}$ $r_{\rm test} = \overline{NM}$ $i=1 \mu=1$





deeper systems generalize as well…







Construction of replica theory for a deep perceptron network
random input/output (random constraint satisfaction problem)
teacher-student scenario (statistical inference) with noise

"Wetting transition" in the design space with/without RSB

Numerical simulations of the teacher-student scenario



Goldt, S., Mézard, M., Krzakala, F., & Zdeborová, L. (2020). PRX, 10(4), 041044. # Finite width N effect, hidden manifold model: loop corrections... # mismatch of architecture # other activation functions: sigmoid, ReLU,.... # Simulations with "real data", various algorithms, architectures...